QUERY CONTR	OL FORM_	RTIS USE ONLY			
Application No.	09 973 808	Prepared by	Lois Stone	Tracking Number	5983865
Examiner-GAU	Crispino - 1733	Date	8/19/04	Week Date	7/19/04
		No. of queries		FFW	

JACKET					
a. Serial No.	f. Foreign Priority	k. Print Claim(s)	p. PTO-1449		
b. Applicant(s)	g. Disclaimer	I. Print Fig.	q. PTOL-85b		
c. Continuing Data	h. Microfiche Appendix	m. Searched Column	r. Abstract		
d. PCT	i. Title	n. PTO-270/328	s. Sheets/Figs		
e. Domestic Priority	j. Claims Allowed	o. PTO-892	t. Other		

	<u>'</u>
SPECIFICATION	MESSAGE
a. Page Missing	Notice of Allowability / Examiner's Amendments dated
b. Text Continuity	6/25/2004 mention amendments that have not
c. Holes through Data	been scanned.
d. Other Missing Text	-be claims dated 1/6/2004 are to be used-
e. Illegible Text	renumbered claims I and 19 (original claims
f. Duplicate Text	as and ag) depend on canceled elaim 24, and
g. Brief Description	renumbered claims 13 to 18 (original claims 18 to22)
h. Sequence Listing	depend an canceled claim 17.
i. Appendix	Please advise
j. Amendments	
k. Other	
CLAIMS	
a. Claim(s) Missing	
b. Improper Dependency	Thank you,
c. Duplicate Numbers	
d. Incorrect Numbering	initials 🜙
e. Index Disagrees	RESPONSE
f. Punctuation	
g. Amendments	
h. Bracketing	
i. Missing Text	
j. Duplicate Text	
k. Other	
	initials

Application/Control Number: 09/973,208

**Art Unit: 1733** 

## **EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT**

1. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Jim Bradley on 6/18/04.

The application has been amended as follows: The claims have been amended as in the attachment of pages 5-12 and the specification has been amended as on page 13.

## Reasons for Allowance

2. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: regarding claims 4-12, 19, 22, 23, 25, and 26, the prior art of record does not teach or fairly suggest leveling the z-pins while the peel plies are present so as to form a stubble of z-pins of the same height. While cutting the z-pins flush is known as shown by Childress(U.S Patent 5,935,680), this occurs at the end of the formation of the joint and is not intended to level the pins to form a stubble of z-pins of the same height. Regarding claim 18, while de-bulking is known in general in the resin impregnating arts, there is no suggestion in the art to de-bulk the composite after application of the peel plies and before insertion of the z-pins. Regarding claim 20, while it is known in the art to use non-porous films as release layers, the prior art of record does not teach or fairly suggest placing a non-porous film between two peel plies, particularly since the z-pins